

1915(e)(2)(B). Section 1915(e) applies to all IFP proceedings, not just those filed by 01 prisoners. Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000). 02 03 A review of the Court's filing system reveals that plaintiff has filed over thirty different 04actions in this Court. The Court dismissed a number of those cases – including at least three 05 cases raising similar claims against the same defendant named here – upon finding that plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, that the filing was frivolous, that the 06 Court lacked jurisdiction, and/or for failure to prosecute. See, e.g., C13-0119-MJP, C12-1108-RAJ, C10-1547-RSM. See also C13-0639-RSM (Dkt. 5) (IFP status revoked given 08 09 identical filings). 10 As in prior cases, plaintiff here fails to set forth facts sufficient to state a cognizable claim against the defendant, fails to set forth any basis for jurisdiction in this Court, and submits 11 a complaint that appears frivolous on its face. Accordingly, the Court recommends that 12 plaintiff's IFP application be STRICKEN and this matter DISMISSED with prejudice. A 13 proposed Order accompanies this Report and Recommendation. 14 15 DATED this 18th day of July, 2013. 16 /s/MARY ALICE THEILER 17 Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge 18 19 20 21 22 REPORT &

RECOMMENDATION

PAGE -2